



Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology

Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología

www.revcolanest.com.co

OPEN

 Wolters Kluwer

EDITORIAL

Is it time to restrict the clinical use of dipyron?

¿Es tiempo de restringir el uso clínico de la dipirona?

Oscar Gilberto Gómez-Duarte

Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York, New York, NY.

Dipyrone (or metamizole) is an analgesic and antipyretic for oral or parenteral administration. Its action mechanism is directly related to the inhibition of the signaling of TRPA1 (Transient Receptor Potential Ankyrin 1). The TRPA1 ion channel, located in the plasma membrane of a subpopulation of nociceptors, plays a major role in pain transmission.¹⁻³ However, the clinical use of dipyrone is associated with various adverse effects such as agranulocytosis, aplastic anemia, anaphylaxis, toxic epidermal necrolysis, renal failure, upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding, induction of acute attacks of porphyria, among others.⁴⁻⁷ One study also reported an increased risk of leukemia in children born to mothers who took dipyrone during pregnancy.⁸ The most severe adverse effects are undoubtedly aplastic anemia and agranulocytosis, the latter being the most frequent. In a population study in the Netherlands, dipyrone was reported to increase the relative risk of agranulocytosis 23-fold.⁹ This increase occurred in patients treated in hospitals throughout that country and was compared with the reference population, which consisted of all persons in the catchment area of the Pharmaco Morbidity Record Linkage System in the Netherlands. Fatal infections subsequent to dipyrone-mediated agran-

ulocytosis have been reported in adults and in children,¹⁰ and mortality associated with agranulocytosis is mostly caused by sepsis.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Death from anaphylaxis due to allergic reactions type I^{15,16} have also been reported.

The incidence of dipyrone agranulocytosis varies in the medical literature. In 1973, Sweden reported an incidence of 1 per 10,000 inhabitants,¹⁷ and in 1981 the incidence of agranulocytosis in Germany was 1 in 20,000. A more recent study in Germany, which analyzed data from 2000 to 2010, reported an incidence of 1 in 1 million.¹⁸ As a consequence of the high number of severe adverse effects and deaths associated with dipyrone, this drug was initially withdrawn from the market in Canada in 1963, and subsequently in the United States in 1973.¹⁹ In addition, a total of 30 countries in the world have withdrawn it from the market, mainly in European countries and Australia.²⁰ However, despite warnings about the risk of dipyrone causing blood dyscrasias and, at worst, death, many countries in Latin America continue to use it for clinical purposes and its free sale allows an indiscriminate use without control mechanisms. The consumption of dipyrone without medical prescription and its association with agranulocytosis is

How to cite this article: Gómez-Duarte OG. Is it time to restrict the clinical use of dipyron? Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology. 2019;47:81-83.

Read the Spanish version of this article at: <http://links.lww.com/RCA/A865>.

Copyright © 2019 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación (S.C.A.R.E.). Published by Wolters Kluwer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Correspondence: Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, 875 Ellicott Street, Office 6092, Buffalo, NY 14203. E-mail: oscardgom@buffalo.edu

Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology (2019) 47:2

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CJ9.0000000000000111>

known in the United States among the Latin American immigrant population.²¹ In Latin America, the impact of the free sale of dipyrone could be one of the factors for which its mild and moderate adverse effects are neither recognized nor reported and, therefore, its incidence may be underestimated. Reports of adverse effects of dipyrone in these countries are low. Their record would be limited to cases of severe infection, anaphylaxis, and other serious medical conditions, where urgent medical attention is necessary.²²

The case report of Machado-Alba et al,²³ recently published in our journal, tells the story of a 59-year-old patient with pain due to polytrauma and who developed granulocytopenia associated with dipyrone. Dipyrone was used clinically as an analgesic for a total of 23 days, and once suspended, granulocytopenia was resolved. The report does not mention whether the patient was previously informed about the adverse effects of dipyrone, such as agranulocytosis, aplastic anemia, allergies, nephrotoxicity, among others. Nor does it mention whether alternative analgesics without severe adverse effects were offered to the patient. This case report is not a novel case, a rare adverse effect, or an unknown adverse effect of dipyrone. The relevance of this study is not recognizing the obvious, but perhaps in publicizing that physicians fail to adhere to the ethical principle of *primum non nosere* (do no harm first). Based on randomized, double-blind clinical studies, many drugs with antipyretic, analgesic, and/or anti-inflammatory properties are now available on the market, which have demonstrated clinical safety, tolerability, minimal adverse effects, and no severe adverse effects.^{24–26} The new analgesics contrast radically with the severe adverse effects of dipyrone. Currently, there is no clinical evidence justifying the use of dipyrone as an analgesic or first-line antipyretic. More than 30 countries in the world in whose territory the use of dipyrone is banned, including Canada, the United States, and England, demonstrate that this medicine is not only unnecessary, but potentially harmful to health.

The case report of Machado-Alba et al²³ does not refer to the free sale of dipyrone or its indiscriminate use, but could support the thesis that for-profit pharmaceutical companies can greatly benefit from the free and indiscriminate sale of this drug, despite its toxicity and high risk. Access to dipyrone without medical formula significantly increases the risk of adverse effects in consumers. Following the example of the 30 countries in the world that banned its clinical use would eliminate adverse effects, including severe and potentially lethal adverse effects. In fact, the free sale of dipyrone should be eliminated and its indiscriminate use should not be allowed. Physicians who choose to continue using it as an analgesic should inform their patients about its harmful effects, including its complications and risk of death.

References

1. Nassini R, Fusi C, Materazzi S, et al. The TRPA1 channel mediates the analgesic action of dipyrone and pyrazolone derivatives. *Br J Pharmacol* 2015;172:3397–3411.
2. Benemei S, Nassini R, Materazzi S, et al. Keep in mind TRPA1 when prescribing metamizole!. *Pain Pract* 2016;16:E110.
3. Zajaczkowska R, Popiolek-Barczyk K, Pilat D, et al. Involvement of microglial cells in the antinociceptive effects of metamizol in a mouse model of neuropathic pain. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2018;175:77–88.
4. [No Authors Listed]Risks of agranulocytosis and aplastic anemia. A first report of their relation to drug use with special reference to analgesics. The International Agranulocytosis and Aplastic Anemia Study. *JAMA* 1986;256:1749–1757.
5. Hassan K, Khazim K, Hassan F, et al. Acute kidney injury associated with metamizole sodium ingestion. *Ren Fail* 2011;33:544–547.
6. Redondo-Pachon MD, Enriquez R, Sirvent AE, et al. Acute renal failure and severe thrombocytopenia associated with metamizole. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2014;25:121–125.
7. Andrade S, Bartels DB, Lange R, et al. Safety of metamizole: a systematic review of the literature. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2016;41:459–477.
8. Couto AC, Ferreira JD, Pombo-de-Oliveira MS, et al. Pregnancy, maternal exposure to analgesic medicines, and leukemia in Brazilian children below 2 years of age. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2015;24:245–252.
9. Van der Klauw MM, Goudsmit R, Halie MR, et al. A population-based case-cohort study of drug-associated agranulocytosis. *Arch Intern Med* 1999;159:369–374.
10. De Leeuw TG, Dirckx M, Gonzalez Candel A, et al. The use of dipyrone (metamizol) as an analgesic in children: what is the evidence? A review. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2017;27:1193–1201.
11. Huguley CM. Agranulocytosis induced by dipyrone, a hazardous antipyretic and analgesic. *JAMA* 1964;189:938–941.
12. Hoerder U, König HJ, Hartwich G. Agranulocytosis and metamizole. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1982;107:1923–1926.
13. Larregina A, Aggio MC, Alvarez RV. Incidence and probable etiology of toxic agranulocytosis in a definite population in the province of Buenos Aires (1963–1976). *Medicina* 1994;54:13–16.
14. Zeiner E, Blaser LS, Tisljar K, et al. Fatal agranulocytosis after metamizole reexposure. *Praxis* 2015;104:151–154.
15. Blanca-López N, Pérez-Sánchez N, Agúndez JA, et al. Allergic reactions to metamizole: immediate and delayed responses. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2016;169:223–230.
16. Sterzik V, Wild V, Weishaupt J, et al. Fatal visit to the general practitioner. *Arch Kriminol* 2016;238:120–127.
17. Arneborn P, Palmblad J. Drug-induced neutropenia—a survey for Stockholm 1973–1978. *Acta Med Scand* 1982;212:289–292.
18. Huber M, Andersohn F, Sarganas G, et al. Metamizole-induced agranulocytosis revisited: results from the prospective Berlin Case–Control Surveillance Study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2015;71:219–227.
19. Bozzine I, Bunch C. Dipyrone, Health Care Series. Greenwood Village, CO: Micromedex Inc; 2000.
20. National Library of Medicine. Dipyrone section in Drugs and Lactation Database (LactMed). 2006; National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD. [Cited 2018 Sep 07]. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK501526/>.
21. Bonkowsky JL, Frazer JK, Buchi KF, et al. Metamizole use by Latino immigrants: a common and potentially harmful home remedy. *Pediatrics* 2002;109:e98.
22. Dorr VJ, Cook J. Agranulocytosis and near fatal sepsis due to “Mexican aspirin” (dipyrone). *South Med J* 1996;89:612–614.
23. Machado-Alba JE, Sanchez-Morales LV, Rodriguez-Ramirez LF. Dipyrone-related granulocytopenia: case report. *Colomb J Anesthesiol* 2018;46:327–330.

24. Chang AK, Bijur PE, Esses D, et al. Effect of a single dose of oral opioid and nonopioid analgesics on acute extremity pain in the emergency department: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2017;318:1661-1667.
25. Constance JE, Campbell SC, Somani AA, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and pharmacogenetics associated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and opioids in pediatric cancer patients. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 2017;13:715-724.
26. Hung KKC, Graham CA, Lo RSL, et al. Oral paracetamol and/or ibuprofen for treating pain after soft tissue injuries: single centre double-blind, randomised controlled clinical trial. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0192043.