

Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology

Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología

www.revcolanest.com.co



Keywords: Acute Pain, Delirium, Pain, Child, Pain Postoperative

Palabras clave: Dolor Agudo,

peratorio

Delirio, Dolor, Niño, Dolor Poso-

Study of paediatric postoperative delirium and acute pain in low surgical risk procedures

Estudio del delirium y dolor agudo postoperatorio pediátrico en cirugías de bajo riesgo quirúrgico

Victor Hugo González-Cardenas^{a,b,c,k}, Fredy Danilo Munar-González^{c,d,e,k}, Igor Leonardo Pinzón-Villazon^{a,c,f,k}, Sergio Hernando Cabarique-Serrano^{a,b,c,g,k}, Claudia Cecilia Burbano-Paredes^{e,h,k}, Nataly Cháves-Rojas^{a,c,k}, John Jairo Rodríguez^{i,k}, Victor Daniel Meneses^{c,j,k}

- ^a School of Medicine, Fundación Universitaria de Ciencias de la Salud (FUCS), Bogotá, Colombia
- ^b School of Medicine, Universidad de la Sabana, Bogotá, Colombia
- ^c Fundación Hospital Infantil Universitario de San José, Bogotá, Colombia
- ^d Clínica Country, Bogotá, Colombia
- ^e Clínica de la Mujer, Bogotá, Colombia
- ^f Hospital de Suba, Bogotá, Colombia
- ^g Clínica Universitaria de la Sabana, Chía, Colombia
- ^h Marketing and Quality, SEDAMOS S.A.S, Bogotá, Colombia
- ⁱ Anaesthesiology resident (third year), Fundación Universitaria de Ciencias de la Salud (FUCS), Bogotá, Colombia
- ^j Anaesthesia research, mandatory social service, Fundación Universitaria de Ciencias de la Salud (FUCS), Bogotá, Colombia
- ^k "Deorum Opus" group for the study of Anaesthesiology; Anaesthesiology Department, Fundación Hospital Infantil Universitario de San José, Bogotá, Colombia
- Fundación hospital infantil oniversitario de San Jose, Bogota, Colonion

Abstract

Introduction: Postoperative delirium is not only an outcome of unknown precise incidence in pediatrics but also a controversial field for pediatric anesthesiology.

Objective: To estimate the incidence of postoperative pediatric delirium in low surgical risk procedures and to analyze risk factors (such as acute postoperative pain).

Materials and methodology: Prospective analytical observational study of incident cohort that included patients between 2

How to cite this article: González-Cardenas VH, Munar-González FD, Pinzón-Villazon IL, Cabarique-Serrano SH, Burbano-Paredes CC, Cháves-Rojas N, et al. Study of paediatric postoperative delirium and acute pain in low surgical risk procedures. Rev Colomb Anestesiol. 2018;46:126–133.

Read the Spanish version of this article: http://links.lww.com/RCA/A18.

Copyright © 2018 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación (S.C.A.R.E.). Published by Wolters Kluwer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Correspondence: Carrera 52 No. 67 A–71, Cuarto Piso, Salas de Cirugía, Oficina de Anestesiología, Fundación Hospital Infantil Universitario de San José. Bogotá, Colombia. E-mail: vhgonzalez@fucsalud.edu.co

Rev Colomb Anestesiol (2018) 46:2

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CJ9.000000000000024

and 10 years of age, American Society of Anesthesiology I to II, undergoing low-risk surgery. Sample size: probable incidence 33%, accuracy 5%, confidence 95%, n=340 patients. Sequential sample selection was done after admission to the operating room. Concurrent and longitudinal follow-up was carried out.

Results: Incidence of delirium was 13.2%. A strong relationship was found between the presence of severe acute postoperative pain and delirium. Dexamethasone was a risk factor. A high association was found between remiferitanil and severe acute postoperative pain.

Conclusions: A low incidence of delirium was found as compared with other reports in the world literature. The diagnostic strength of the scales used is controversial because of the similarities between measurement parameters. Scientific evidence that challenges the use of dexamethasone as a triggering factor is offered. A direct association between pain and delirium is found, and it is even argued that the use of remifentanil could favor the presence of severe acute postoperative pain (hyperalgesia).

Resumen

Introducción: El Delirium postoperatorio no sólo es un desenlace del que se desconoce una incidencia precisa en pacientes pediátricos, también es un campo controvertido para la Anestesiología Pediátrica.

Objetivo: Calcular la incidencia de Delirium Pediátrico Postoperatorio en cirugías de bajo riesgo quirúrgico y analizar factores de riesgo,(Como el dolor agudo postoperatorio).

Materiales y método: Estudio Observacional Prospectivo Analítico de Cohorte Incidente. Incluyó pacientes entre 2 y 10 años, ASA I-II, sometidos a cirugías de bajo riesgo quirúrgico. Tamaño de Muestra: Incidencia probable=33%, Precisión=5%, Confianza95%, n= 340 pacientes. Selección muestral secuencial al ingreso a salas de cirugía. Seguimiento concurrente y longitudinal.

Resultados: Incidencia de Delirium=13,2%. Se encontró una fuerte relación entre Dolor Agudo Postoperatorio Severo y Delirium. La Dexametasona se comportó como un factor de riesgo. Remifentanyl presentó una alta asociación con Dolor Agudo Severo Postoperatorio.

Conclusiones: Se encontró una incidencia de Delirium pediátrico baja con respecto a otros reportes a nivel mundial. Se controvierte la fortaleza diagnóstica de las escalas empleadas dadas las similitudes en sus parámetros de medición. Se aporta evidencia científica que debate el empleo de Dexametasona como inductor de Delirium. Se asocia de manera directa la presencia de Dolor y Delirium, e incluso se argumenta cómo el empleo de Remifentanyl podría facilitar la presencia de Dolor Agudo Severo Postoperatorio (Hiperalgesia).

Introduction

Postanesthetic excitation, awakening, and postoperative agitation are terms used interchangeably to describe restlessness, agitation, crying/moaning, disorientation, incoherence, and even paranoid ideation in a patient. Although it is usually short-lasting and self-limiting, delirium may require pharmacological intervention (52%), result in physical damage, delay reunion between the child and the parents, and prolong the stay in the postanesthetic care unit (PACU).^{1,2}

Findings of varying incidences in pediatric patients have not only limited widespread knowledge but also the study and implementation of preventive and therapeutic measures during postanesthetic recovery. Many variables have been implicated, but only a few have been found to be true risk factors for delirium (head and neck surgery, patients under 5 years of age, and exposure to halogenated agents).^{1,3–6} In contrast, pain has been correlated as a risk factor for delirium, and, consequently, some analgesic therapies have already been tested in an attempt at reducing its presence and severity.^{6–11}

This study was designed with the aim of determining the size of the problem in this population and assessing different variables that might modify its incidence. The incidence of delirium in the pediatric population and independent, multiple, protective, or risk associations were studied.

Materials and methods

Having received the approval of the Research and Ethics Committees of the San José Children's Hospital and FUCS, a prospective observational analytical study of an incident cohort was designed to include patients between 2 and 10 years of age, American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) I to II, taken to low-risk surgeries (surgical and bleeding risk), including head and neck, urologic, orthopedic, imaging, and gastroenterology procedures. Patients with neurological disorders or sequelae, a need for postoperative mechanical ventilation, and with incomplete follow-up were excluded.

Taking an incidence of delirium of 33%,¹ accuracy was calculated at 5% confidence at 95%, and sample size at 340. To adjust for risk factors, based on a difference of 20% between the proposed stratified and raw incidences, a 95% confidence and a power of 80% (n=59) was estimated for every factor to be analyzed (maximum of 4 variables).

The incidence of delirium was calculated as a percentage of exposed cases. Those incident cases were subjected to different stratified assessments. Demographic data and intraoperative variables are presented. The type of anesthesia, induction, maintenance, extubation, and analgesia were recorded. The medications used intraoperatively were identified and classified, and the confounding effect was assessed and analyzed by means of a stratified analysis.

On arrival at the PACU, delirium (Pediatric Active Enhanced Disease Surveillance [PAEDS]) and pain (Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Pain Scale [CHEOPS]) were assessed. The Aldrete score was determined on admission, and patients with Aldrete score 10 in the first 10 minutes were stratified. The assessments were repeated at 20 and 40 minutes. In the event of delirium or pain, the treatments used were documented (in the PACU).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics

Variable	Values
Ν	340
Age, yrs	5 (3–7)
Under 5	44.4%
Weight, kg	20 (15–25)
Sex (male)	72.1%
ASA I (vs) ASA II	90.9% vs 9.1%
Comorbidities	7.4%
Bronchial asthma	6/25 (24.0%)
Fasting (h)	10 (8–12)
More than 12h	17.4%

Values presented as means/medians and standard deviation or 25 to 75 range, or frequencies and percentages. ASA=American Society of Anesthesiology.

Source: Authors.

The PAEDS values were stratified and matched with the presence of acute postoperative pain to explore the delirium diagnosis group exposed to pain. An exploratory bivariate analysis of variables listed in the outcomes tables was performed to find an association between delirium and acute postoperative pain. Variables with a P value <0.2 in the bivariate analysis were entered into a logarithmic regression model for a maximum of 4 variables, to generate an association model. Delirium versus acute pain (chisquare), and remifentanil versus acute pain (chi-square) were assessed. Differences were considered statistically significant if P < 5%. Finally, impact measurements for variables with statistical significance were calculated (relative risk [RR], number necessary to harm [NNTH]). The STATA 14 (StataCorp) software package was used.

Results

Overall, 340 records were collected prospectively and sequentially as of the second semester of 2016 (see Table 1 for the demographic and preoperative characteristics). Evaluation quality was secured through training for administering and interpreting the scores. Moreover, the researchers provided advice and recorded the secondary database prospectively and concurrently.

Ninety per cent (90%) of the patients were taken to orthopedic, urological, otolaryngological, and pediatric surgical procedures (Table 2), and 16.5% were taken to head and neck surgery. Four patients (1.2%) received dexmedetomidine $0.97 \,\mu\text{g/kg}$ (±0.15 $\mu\text{g/kg}$) and 2 received midazolam 0.11 mg/kg (±0.04 mg/kg) during induction.

The most common anesthetic induction model consisted of sevoflurane-fentanyl-propofol (54.1%), followed by sevoflurane-fentanyl (13.2%) and sevoflurane-propofol (12.4%). The most common anesthesia maintenance model was fentanyl-sevoflurane (58.2%), followed by a total inhalation model (sevoflurane) (15.3%), fentanyldesflurane (10.9%), and remifentanil-sevoflurane (10.3%). The halogenated agents most frequently used were sevoflurane (81.5%) and desflurane (18.5%). In 100% of cases, halogenated agents were used for maintenance.

The strategy most commonly used for intraoperative analgesia was a single fentanyl bolus (37.4%), followed by fentanyl-remifentanil-halogenated (31.8%), sevoflurane (15.3%), and remifentanil-halogenated (13.2%). Dexamethasone was given to 60% of the patients. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents (diclofenac 1.1mg/kg [±0.025] or dipirone 21.4 mg/kg $[\pm 0.9]$) were used in 87.7%; additionally, 9.1% (27/298) received morphine 0.06 mg/kg (±0.004), 13.1% hydromorphone 0.01 mg (\pm 0.001), and 13.8% tramadol 1.61 mg/kg (± 0.06). Tramadol was used 50 times (14.7%), but singly in 3 patients. A total of 22.1% of patients received regional analgesia (plexus, major nerves, or caudal analgesia); 20.3% were extubated while still in deep plane.

The incidence of delirium in the PACU was measured at 0, 20, and 40 minutes. The incidence of pain was measured at the same time (Table 3). The mean PAEDS score was 5

	Urology n (%)	Pediatric surgery n (%)	Otolaryngology n (%)	Orthopedics n (%)	Others n (%)	
Cases	128 (37.5%)	95 (27.9%)	42 (12.4%)	41 (12.1%)	34 (10%)	—
Surgical time, min	35 (25–60) [*]	30 (25–45)*	60 (43.8–80)	60 (35–82.5)	65 (23.8–90)	<0.001
Anesthesia time	55 (40–70) [†]	45 (35–60) [†]	80 (58.8–91.3)	75 (60–102.5)	77.5 (30–96.3)	<0.001
Surgical complications	0 (0%)	1 (1.1%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.4%)	0.384

Frequency, average anesthesia time, surgical time, and complications are included (scatter is shown as percentile range (25%–75%).

P = 0.005.

[†]P<0.001 as compared with other surgical groups.

Table 2. Surgical procedures (n=340)

Source: Authors.

REV COLOMB ANESTESIOL. 2018;46(2):126-133

Table 3. Postoperative delirium in pediatrics

	PAEDS (score) (IR 25%–75%)	Delirium (≥10) n=340; n (%)	CHEOPS (score) (IR 25%–75%)	Uncontrolled severe pain (≥6) n=340; n (%)
Overall incidence	_	45 (13.2%)	—	86 (25.3%)
Incidence at 0 min	12 (10–20)	38 (11.2%)	8 (7–11)	65 (19.1%)
Incidence at 20min	10 (10–15)	19 (5.6%) [*]	7 (7–12)	50 (14.7%) [†]
Incidence at 40 min	10 (10–12)	4 (1.2%)	7 (7–10)	14 (4.1%)

Overall: presence of delirium during the first 40 minutes in recovery.

CHEOPS=Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Pain Scale; IR=interquartile range 25%–75%; PAEDS=Pediatric Active Enhanced Disease Surveillance. * Eight of the 18 cases of delirium (44.4%) were diagnosed for the first time at 20 minutes; of the 18 cases with delirium, 44.4% were diagnosed for the first time at 20 minutes.

[†]Twenty-one of the 50 cases with uncontrolled severe pain (42%) were diagnosed for the first time at 20 minutes. Source: Authors.

(0–7), 3 (0–5), and 0 (0–3) at 0, 20, and 40 minutes, respectively.

A bivariate analysis was performed for sex, age, patients under 5 years of age, ASA, and comorbidities, but no statistical significance (P > 0.05) was found for any of these variables. A bivariate analysis was also performed for the sociodemographic, surgical, and anesthetic variables, and an association was found with the outcome of interest for surgical time (P=0.026) and anesthesia time (P=0.037). Data associated with dexmedetomidine and midazolam were excluded due to the low frequency of their use; however, it is worth highlighting that they were not associated with delirium. Only 1 patient required specific pharmacological management for delirium, which was controlled with propofol-ketamine (at 20minutes).

The Aldrete score at 0 minutes was 9 (8–9). The mean recovery time (Aldrete score 10) was 10 minutes (5–20), and 54.1% reached that level in less than 10 minutes (PACU). The presence of uncontrolled severe acute pain was

assessed at 0, 20, and 40 minutes, using the CHEOPS score (Table 4). There is evidence of clinical differences in all the strata of the analysis; however, differences were significant only on admission to the PACU, and in the global delirium measurement (defined as delirium within the first 40 minutes in the PACU).

When staging different strata of postoperative pediatric delirium (10–12/13–16/>16) for practical purposes (low/ intermediate/high, respectively), it was found that, on admission to the PACU, 44% of the individuals with low PAEDS score had pain, 70% with intermediate PAEDS, and 100% with high a high PAEDS. This revealed statistically significant differences between the low level and the intermediate/high level (P=0.035). At 20 minutes, 66.7% of the individuals with low PAEDS and 75% with intermediate PAEDS had diagnostic values on the CHEOPS scale (P= 0.627). Finally, none of the patients had pain at 40 minutes.

Stratified incidences of acute pain associated with the intraoperative analgesic strategy were calculated in

	Uncontrolled severe acute pain n=340; n (%)	Delirium (With Pain Vs Without Pain) n=340; n (%) Vs n (%)	RR (95% CI)	Р
CHEOPS global	86 (25.3%)	17/86 (19,8%) Vs 28/254 (11,0%)	1.989 (1.028–3.848)	0.033*
CHEOPS 0 min	65 (19.1%)	14/65 (21,5%) Vs 31/275 (11,3%)	2.161 (1.073–4.349)	0.027*
CHEOPS 20 min	50 (14.7%)	10/50 (20,0%) Vs 35/290 (12,1%)	1.821 (0.837–3.965)	0.100
CHEOPS 40 min	14 (4.1%)	3/14 (21,4%) Vs 42/326 (12,9%)	1.844 (0.494–6.883)	0.278

Table 4. Pain versus delirium in the postanesthetic care unit

Column "n" includes the cases of severe acute pain.

CHEOPS=Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Pain Scale; CI=confidence interval; RR=relative risk.

* P < 0.05.

Source: Authors

Table 5. Analysis of the intraoperative analgesic strategy versus incidence of uncontrolled severe acute pain

	Pain n=340; n (%)	RR (95% CI)			
Intraoperative analgesia					
Fentanyl alone	13.4%				
Fentanyl and remifentanil	25.0%				
Remifentanil for maintenance	35.6%	_	<0.0001		
Halogenated agent alone	36.5%				
Remifentanil bolus and infusion	87.5%				
Comparing					
Fentanyl alone vs Fentanyl plus remifentanil	17/127 (13,4%) Vs 27/108 (25,0%)	0.464 (0.237–0.907)	0.018*		
Remifentanil alone vs Fentanyl plus remifentanil	23/54 (42,6%) Vs 27/108 (25,0%)	2.226 (1.113–4.452)	0.018*		
Remifentanil bolus plus remifentanil infusion for maintenance vs Fentanyl plus remifentanil	7/8 (87,5%) Vs 27/108 (25,0%)	21.000 (2.471–178.502)	0.001*		
Remifentanil bolus plus remifentanil infusion for maintenance vs Fentanyl alone	7/8 (87,5%) Vs 17/127 (13,4%)	45.294 (5.241–391.437)	<0.0001*		

CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.

*P<0.05.

Source: Authors.

surgery. A comparative analysis between analgesic strategies for this cohort and their impact was added, highlighting the incidences of uncontrolled acute pain stratified to the use of remifentanil versus other analgesic strategies used (Table 5).

When controlling for the confounding effect (logistic regression) for the type of induction, the anesthesia maintenance strategy and the intraoperative drugs (hydromorphone-midazolam-dipyrone-morphine-fentanyl-propofol), no variable had statistical significance for delirium. The patients who received various analgesics (hydromorphone-diclofena-tramadol-ketamine) were analyzed using multiple logistic regression, and in this way they were excluded from an association model because of the lack of final statistic impact for the presence of delirium.

The incidence of delirium was higher among individuals who received dexamethasone (16.7% vs 8.1%; P=0.015; RR 2.273; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.108–4.660) and (odds ratio [OR] 2.273; 95% CI 1.108–4.660). When multiple logarithmic regression was included, of the variables with statistical significance (P < 0.2) related to the development of delirium, only dexamethasone (OR 2.398; 95% CI 1.139–5.050) maintained the association, whereas head and neck

surgeries (OR 1.546; 95% CI 0.707–3.383) did not generate a multiple association.

Concerning uncontrolled acute pain (postoperative), the analysis found that none of the analgesic medications showed statistical significance in relation to multiple statistical analysis. Finally, it was found that the patients who received dexamethasone had a lower incidence of uncontrolled pain in the PACU (21.6% vs 30.9%; P=0.057; RR 0.62; 95% CI 0.38–1.008). The analysis (logistic regression) showed a similar statistical significance (P=0.054; OR 0.61; 95% CI 0.376–1.01). Although they could not be considered significant, these findings are clinically relevant.

Discussion

This research study found a low incidence of pediatric delirium (13.2%) associated with procedures with low surgical risk, which challenges the high incidences detected by Bock et al^{12} (38%), those calculated by Smessaert et al^{13} (8%, between 11 and 17 years), and those reported by Gooden et al^{14} (19.3%). Although various indices have been used for this outcome in the world literature, it is important to consider the origin of those

results. For years, the diagnosis of delirium was based on appreciations with no consensus, and, consequently, expert opinion on the subject was the only tool available. This did not only limit the ability to derive inferences, but it also challenged the diagnostic criteria of each of the studies. The statistics estimated by Dahmani et al,⁷ JÖHr,¹⁵ and Voepel-Lewis et al¹ are clear examples of these assertions (incidences 2%–80%). However, with the advent of validated scores like the PAEDS, the objectivity and validity of the research were enhanced. A case in point is the study by Pieters et al,⁹ which found an incidence of delirium of 18% applying this tool.

The population in our study showed a lower rate than that reported for the world (and for the region). We suggest that the use of a specific calculation for the search of delirium under clear criteria, resulted in 1 of the largest samples reported in the literature, providing more accurate statistics for the study.

It is worth noting that the diagnosis of delirium was not made only on admission to the PACU, because an important proportion of patients showed delirium at 20 minutes (44.4%). It needs to be underscored that 1.2% of the cases showed persistent diagnostic criteria for delirium during 40 minutes, pointing to a trend of short-term recovery. Despite the severity of the pictures, no patient developed complications, adverse events, or required additional treatment.

Several authors have discussed multiple risk factor for delirium. According to the bivariate analysis of this report, anesthesia time (RR 1.01; 95% CI 1.002–1.019), surgical time (RR 1.013; 95% CI 1.003–1.022), and the use of dexamethasone (RR 2.273; 95% CI 1.108–4.660) were associated with the outcome, but this was not replicated in the logistic regression.

Aono et al¹⁶ stated that patients under 5 years of age exposed to similar anesthetic models with sevoflurane showed a higher rate of delirium (40% vs 11.5%; P=0.032), unlike our reported bivariate analysis (12.6% vs 13,8%; P= 0.440). Aono et al¹⁶ concluded that central nervous system immaturity and the effect of "early emergence" in an unknown setting were the causes of delirium. In this study, the rate of delirium was not increased in children under 5 years of age; moreover, the assertion on "early emergence" is refuted, given that no statistically significant differences regarding delirium were found between patients with a score of 10 on the Aldrete scale (within the first 10 minutes in the PACU) and patients with deep-plane extubation and late emergence. Sevoflurane and desflurane have been ruled out as delirium inducers.¹⁷ Viitanen et al¹⁸ showed a higher incidence of delirium with halothane versus Thiopental (sodium) (29% vs 7%; P< 0.01); however, that evidence was not replicated when halothane was compared with propofol (29% vs 23%; P =0.07). Notwithstanding, he also suggested that a higher probability of delirium was associated with that inhalation agent.

In contrast, Pieters et al⁹ compared propofol versus sevoflurane in relation to delirium in patients taken to adenotonsillectomy, and concluded that there was a high raw incidence, despite higher diagnostic cut-off points (PAEDS score >13), but did not find significant differences (63% vs 53%; P > 0.05). Our study supports his conclusion in relation to inhalation anesthetics (alone/combined; induction/maintenance; sevoflurane/desflurane), which did not modify the incidence of delirium.

The analysis of "total intravenous anesthesia" (fentanyl-propofol) compared with other anesthetic strategies showed a dramatic increase. However, this comment needs to be taken with great care, as it is derived from a small sample of patients in this subgroup (n=8). A larger sample size needs to be analyzed.

For years, head and neck surgery has been considered a risk factor.¹⁷ However, numerous authors have discussed dissimilar figures. Kotiniemi et al and Holm-Knudsen et al have not made reference to it as a risk factor for delirium,^{19–21} and Kain et al²² even stated that there were other subgroups with a higher risk (urogenital surgery). Consistent with this reference, the head and neck surgery subgroup did not show a significant association with delirium (P=0.182) in this study.

Dexamethasone during induction was associated with delirium (16.7% vs 8.1%; P=0.015; RR 2.273; 95% CI 1.108-4.660); after the logarithmic analysis, a relevant statistical significance with an important explanatory percentage was published. For the discussion of these findings, a systematic search of the literature was conducted in the Pubmed database (Metadata: Dexamethasone_AND_children_AND/ OR_Dexamethasone_AND_Delirium), with no restrictions. Four articles related to the topic were found, but only 1 applied to pediatric patients. In a placebo-controlled clinical study, Khalili et al²³ assessed delirium after the use of dexamethasone (0.2mg/kg) and reported lower incidences (60% vs 85.7%; P=0.016). However, when analyzing dexamethasone versus acetaminophen-codeine for delirium, the author did not find differences (60% vs 65.7%; P=0.752). Despite little evidence regarding the effect of dexamethasone on the outcome of interest, the literature does not provide sufficient data to rule out its role. Our conjectures do not only revive a controversy but also seek to promote a scientific debate to solve this dilemma.

Unlike other clinical settings, tight monitoring of physiological responses to surgical stimuli in our patients, and titrated administration of the analgesics according to multiple responses during surgery, ensured an objective assessment of the effects of the analgesic techniques, allowing us to analyze their triggering or protective effect in terms of postoperative delirium. However, although it is impossible to consider that this assertion is unquestionable, because of the neuromodulation caused by the concurrent administration of hypnotic and amnesic agents, it would be unethical to consider experimental settings in which individuals would be exposed to intraoperative pain with the aim of consolidating observations and assessments in the absence of strong analgesics. Therefore, should a similar measure of delirium exist, it is derived for practical purposes from similar anesthetic models, rendering additional searches for the study of that temporality unnecessary.

Several authors consider acute postoperative pain as an important risk factor for delirium.^{1,4,8} In fact, severe acute pain during recovery was closely associated with delirium in this cohort. At 0 minute (admission to the PACU), pain behaved as a risk factor (55.3% vs 14.6%; P < 0.0001; RR 7.243; 95% CI 3.544–14.805; NNH 3.83). A similar behavior was found at 20 minutes (26.0 vs 2.1%; P < 0.0001; RR 16.623; 95% CI 5.960–46.407; NNH 4.18), but not so at 40 minutes (0.0% vs 1.2%; P = 0.845). This information is very clear and, therefore, supports 1 of the main assumptions of this study: pain is perhaps the most important risk factor for postoperative delirium in pediatric patients.

Various authors have argued that optimal analgesia (preventive/therapeutic) could lower the incidence of delirium in the PACU. In fact, Bock et al¹² showed that clonidine ($3\mu g/kg$ epidural or intravenous) may reduce the rate of delirium (0% and 5% vs 39% for the control group; P=0.01). In turn, Davis et al⁴ found lower rates of delirium for short procedures with ketorolac (independent from the inhalation agent: halothane-ketorolac 12% vs halothane-placebo 42%; P < 0.05; and sevofluorane-ketorolac 14% vs sevofluorane-placebo 38%; P < 0.05).

We recommend caution regarding these assertions, not with the aim of disputing the use of excellent multimodal strategies for the prevention and treatment of pain in children or to contradict ourselves when saying that some analgesic regimens have no effect on the rate of delirium. What we suggest is that the association between pain and delirium in this study does not point to a potential measurement bias, given the similarity of diagnostic items used in each score (PAEDS and CHEOPS); therefore, the association may be due more to the similarity of criteria and not necessarily to the diagnostic agreement between 2 clinical pictures in the same patient. Moreover, despite the use of regional analgesia in a significant number of patients, it was not associated with a lower incidence of acute postoperative pain, which is consistent with a potential misdiagnosis of pain or delirium, not comparable with what was found and discussed as valid by other authors. Consequently, it was sensible to cancel the performance of additional statistical analyses. Further controlled clinical trials are needed in order to be able to discuss those effects.

In view of the above, it is imperative to consider medications that protect against delirium and/or severe acute postoperative pain as part of the study design. Although measuring the effects of a given pain prevention strategy was not the objective of this study, in none of the cases were those preinduction measures used. Moreover, to strengthen this hypothesis of a causal relationship, we recommend the inclusion of numerical scales to weigh the intensity of pain in relation to delirium.

Finally, the analysis of delirium regarding the use of remifentanil (induction and/or maintenance) did not show causality. However, an association was found between remifentanil and severe acute pain. Although the ability of strong opioids to produce hyperalgesias has been the subject of debate for many years, there are currently few studies that refer to this fact in the pediatric population. Hyperalgesia secondary to the use of opioids, although new, has limited the options for opioid-based therapy. Since the in vitro studies by Zhao and Joo²⁴ which showed evidence of lower thresholds for N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor excitation after the use of remifentanil, various studies, from different perspectives, have suggested similar hyperalgesia.^{25–28}

This evidence provides arguments to dispute the use of remifentanil in pediatric patients, and it can even propose mechanisms to modulate its sensitizing effect by means of the use of analgesic drugs with major gamma half-life or uneven action mechanism.

Despite the magnitude of the statistical differences and their impact measurements (RR=45.29/NNH=1.35), it is important to note that those data come from a small sample, smaller than the necessary minimum to establish a causal association. Consequently, we suggest collecting a probabilistic sample that is sufficient to solve this uncertainty. In the meantime, we believe it is of great value to consider the concomitant use of hyperalgesia modulators with remifentanil.

Conclusions

Even though this is not the first study on this topic of research, we believe it is 1 of the first in the region that provides a clear incidence of delirium in the pediatric population. This incidence is low when compared with other reports in the world literature. However, we dispute the diagnostic strength of the scales used, given the similarity of their measurement parameters, and we believe it is crucial to develop a specific study regarding this matter. Dexamethasone was found to induce delirium, but further evaluations are needed to arrive at a conclusion. The association between pain and delirium is unquestionable and it is consistent with the evidence in the rest of the world. Finally, remifentanil may favor the presence of severe acute postoperative pain (hyperalgesia), something that needs to be verified with a larger sample and a specific methodology design for such a theory.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the relevant clinical research ethics

commit-tee and with those of the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Acknowledgments

We are deeply grateful to the leadership of Fundación Universitaria de Ciencias de la Salud FUCS and the members of the School of Medicine for their constant support, to the Health Secretariat of the District of Bogota, to the leadership of Fundación Hospital Infantil Universitario de San José, the Anaesthesiology Department, the patients, and their families (ASCP).

Funding

The study was funded by Fundación Universitaria de Ciencias de la Salud (FUCS) after it was submitted and met the requirements for the internal invitation by the education institution.

Conflicts of interest

All the authors declare having no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Voepel-Lewis T, Malviya S, Tait AR. A prospective cohort study of emergence agitation in the pediatric postanesthesia care unit. Anesth Analg 2003;96:1625–1630.
- 2. Peralta-Zamora E. Estrategias para disminuir la agitación y el delirio postoperatorio en anestesia ambulatoria. Rev Mex Anest 2012;35 (s1):112–115.
- Uezono S, Goto T, Terui K, et al. Emergence agitation after sevoflurane versus propofol in pediatric patients. Anesth Analg 2000;91:563–566.
- 4. Davis PJ, Greenberg JA, Gendelman M, et al. Recovery characteristics of sevoflurane and halothane in preschool-aged children undergoing bilateral myringotomy and pressure equalization tube insertion. Anesth Analg 1999;88:34–38.
- 5. Vlajkovic GP, Sindjelic RP. Emergence delirium in children: many questions, few answers. Anesth Analg 2007;104:84–91.
- Lankinen U, Avela R, Tarkkila P. The prevention of emergence agitation with tropisetron or clonidine after sevoflurane anesthesia in small children undergoing adenoidectomy. Anesth Analg 2006;102:1383–1386.
- 7. Dahmani S, Stany I, Brasher C, et al. Pharmacological prevention of sevoflurane- and desflurane-related emergence agitation in children: a meta-analysis of published studies. Br J Anaesth 2010;104:216–223.
- 8. Fan KT, Lee Th Fau-Yu KL, Yu Kl Fau-Tang CS, et al. Influences of tramadol on emergence characteristics from sevoflurane anes-

thesia in pediatric ambulatory surgery. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2000;16:255–260.

- 9. Pieters BJ, Penn E, Nicklaus P, et al. Emergence delirium and postoperative pain in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy: a comparison of propofol vs sevoflurane anesthesia. Pediatr Anesth 2010;20:944–950.
- 10. Vaurio LE, Sands LP, Wang Y, et al. Postoperative delirium: the importance of pain and pain management. Anesth Analg 2006;102:1267–1273.
- 11. Lynch EP, Lazor MA, Gellis JE, et al. The impact of postoperative pain on the development of postoperative delirium. Anesth Analg 1998;86:781–785.
- 12. Bock M, Kunz P, Schreckenberger R, et al. Comparison of caudal and intravenous clonidine in the prevention of agitation after sevoflurane in children. Br J Anaesth 2002;88:790–796.
- 13. Smessaert A, Schehr CA, Artusio JF. Observations in the immediate postanaesthesia period II. Mode of recovery. Br J Anaesth 1960;32:181–185.
- 14. Gooden R, Tennant I, James B, et al. The incidence of emergence delirium and risk factors following sevoflurane use in pediatric patients for day case surgery, Kingston, Jamaica. Rev Bras Anestesiol 2014;64:413–418.
- 15. JÖHr M. Postanaesthesia excitation. Pediatr Anesth 2002;12:293–295.
- Aono J, Ueda W, Mamiya K, et al. Greater incidence of delirium during recovery from sevoflurane anesthesia in preschool boys. Anesthesiology 1997;87:1298–1300.
- 17. Banchs RJ, Lerman J. Preoperative anxiety management, emergence delirium, and postoperative behavior. Anesthesiol Clin 2014;32:1–23.
- Viitanen H, Annila P, Rorarius M, et al. Recovery after halothane anaesthesia induced with thiopental, propofol-alfentanyl or halothane for day-case adenoidectomy in small children. Br J Anaesth 1998;81:960–962.
- Kotiniemi LH, RyhÄNen PT, Moilanen IK. Behavioural changes following routine ENT operations in two-to-ten-year-old children. Pediatr Anesth 1996;6:45–49.
- 20. Kotiniemi LH, Ryhänen PT, Moilanen IK. Behavioural changes in children following day-case surgery: a 4-week follow-up of 551 children. Anaesthesia 1997;52:970–976.
- Holm-Knudsen RJ, Carlin JB, McKenzie IM. Distress at induction of anaesthesia in children. A survey of incidence, associated factors and recovery characteristics. Paediatr Anaesth 1998;8: 383–392.
- Kain ZN, Wang SM, Mayes LC, et al. Distress during the induction of anesthesia and postoperative behavioral outcomes. Anesth Analg 1999;88:1042–1047.
- 23. Khalili G, Sajedi P, Shafa A, et al. A randomized evaluation of intravenous dexamethasone versus oral acetaminophen codeine in pediatric adenotonsillectomy: emergence agitation and analgesia. Middle East J Anaesthesiol 2012;21:499–505.
- Žhao M, Joo DT. Enhancement of spinal N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor function by remifentanyl action at (-opioid receptors as a mechanism for acute opioid-induced hyperalgesia or tolerance. Anesthesiology 2008;109:308–317.
- Angst MS, Clark D. Opioid-induced hyperalgesia: a qualitative systematic review. Anesthesiology 2006;104:570–587.
- 26. Guignard B, Bossard AE, Coste C, et al. Acute opioid toleranceintraoperative remifentanyl increases postoperative pain and morphine requirement. Anesthesiology 2000;93:409–417.
- DuPen A, Shen D, Ersek M. Mechanisms of opioidinduced tolerance and hyperalgesia. Pain Manag Nurs 2007; 8:113–121.
- 28. Kim SH, Stoicea N, Soghomonyan S, et al. Intraoperative use of remifentanyl and opioid induced hyperalgesia/acute opioid tolerance: systematic review. Front Pharmacols 2014;5:108.