



Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology

Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología

www.revcolanest.com.co



EDITORIAL

Editorial

Point-of-care ultrasonography for anesthesiologists[☆]



Ecografía al lado de la cama del paciente para anestesiólogos

Cristian Arzola^{*}

Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesia, University of Toronto, Mount Sinai Hospital, Joseph and Wolf Lebovic Health Complex, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Point-of-care ultrasonography (POCUS) is performed and interpreted by the clinician at the bedside, allowing real time findings, clinical correlation with the patient signs and symptoms, and dynamic images that are repeatable if the patient's condition changes.¹ This practice has increased dramatically in the last 20 years, achieving the involvement of multiple specialties beyond the traditional repositories of this diagnostic tool. Although the development and progress in technology have been critical factors in this growing trend, not until a change in mindset matures in a particular specialty will we really encounter a paradigm shift. From Obstetrics and Gynecology to Nephrology, Pulmonary Medicine to Rheumatology, Trauma Surgery to Neonatology, and many more have witnessed the advancement of this trend in modern medicine. The utility of POCUS has been characterized in areas of diagnostics, procedural-interventional and screening, which must be customized to the particular needs of the specialty. In the field of Acute Care Medicine (Emergency Medicine, Critical Care and Anesthesiology), the growing interest in POCUS portrays a future where the practice of bedside ultrasound will be integrated into the everyday clinical practice as *ultrasound-assisted examination* and *ultrasound-guided procedures*.² In this context, US has to be approached as part of everyday practice rather than as a separate US exam.³ In order to successfully

advance this new approach, the change in mindset will have to incorporate early interventions in undergraduate and medical schools. In 2006, the University of South Carolina, School of Medicine introduced an integrated ultrasound curriculum across all 4 years of medical school. Such experience depicts the feasibility and acceptance from teachers and learners.^{4,5} More recently a pilot ultrasound curriculum was introduced in Harvard Medical School. The main purpose was to integrate US into the teaching of physical examination. Their perspective aims to train a generation of physicians that will need to view this technology as an extension of their senses, just as many generations have viewed the stethoscope.⁶

Although anesthesiologists have been instrumental in the development of perioperative ultrasound over the last 30 years, in areas such as intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography, ultrasound-guided vascular access, and ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia, current involvement goes beyond that traditional scope.⁷ The evolution in regional blocks,⁸ the teaching of focus transthoracic echocardiography,^{9,10} the growing interest in lung ultrasound,¹¹ the novelty of bedside ultrasound assessment of gastric contents¹²⁻¹⁵ and any new US application demands a good level of evidence supporting its validity and utility in clinical practice. The evidence-based continuum requires

[☆] Please cite this article as: Arzola C. Ecografía al lado de la cama del paciente para anestesiólogos. Rev Colomb Anestesiología. 2015;43:261-262.

^{*} Correspondence to: Department of Anesthesia, University of Toronto, Mount Sinai Hospital, Joseph and Wolf Lebovic Health Complex, 600 University Avenue, Room 19-104, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1X5.

E-mail address: carzola@mtsinai.on.ca

answering three main questions: Is diagnosis improved? – (diagnostic accuracy); does improved diagnosis lead to change in decision-making?; and do changes in decision-making lead to better patient outcome? Although there are many more questions and controversies, current trends are definitely conforming the way we practice anesthesiology, and foremost bedside ultrasound is becoming the next step in perioperative care¹⁶ that we may need to embrace progressively in the following years.

Funding

None.

Conflict of interest

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Moore CL, Copel JA. Point-of-care ultrasonography. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:749–57.
2. Royse CF, Canty DJ, Faris J, Haji DL, Veltman M, Royse A. Core review: physician-performed ultrasound: the time has come for routine use in acute care medicine. *Anesth Analg*. 2012;115:1007–28.
3. Jørgensen MRS, Bøtker MT, Juhl-Olsen P, Frederiksen CA, Sloth E. Point-of-care ultrasonography. *OA Crit Care*. 2013;1:8.
4. Hoppmann RA, Rao VV, Poston MB, Howe DB, Hunt PS, Fowler SD, et al. An integrated ultrasound curriculum (iUSC) for medical students: 4-year experience. *Crit Ultrasound J*. 2011;3:1–12.
5. Hoppmann R, Blaivas M, Elbarbary M. Better medical education and health care through point-of-care ultrasound. *Acad Med*. 2012;87:134.
6. Solomon SD, Saldana F. Point-of-care ultrasound in medical education – stop listening and look. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370:1083–5.
7. Johnson DW, Oren-Grinberg A. Perioperative point-of-care ultrasonography: the past and the future are in anesthesiologists' hands. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;115:460–2.
8. Cowlshaw PJ, Scott DM, Barrington MJ. The role of regional anaesthesia techniques in the management of acute pain. *Anaesth Intens Care*. 2012;40:33–45.
9. Tanzola RC, Walsh S, Hopman WM, Sydor D, Arellano R, Allard RV. Brief report: focused transthoracic echocardiography training in a cohort of Canadian anesthesiology residents: a pilot study. *Can J Anesth*. 2013;32–7.
10. Neelankavil J, Howard-Quijano K, Hsieh TC, Ramsingh D, Scovotti JC, Chua JH, Ho JK, Mahajan A. Transthoracic echocardiography simulation is an efficient method to train anesthesiologists in basic transthoracic echocardiography skills. *Anesth Analg*. 2012;115:1042–51.
11. Piette E, Daoust R, Denault A. Basic concepts in the use of thoracic and lung ultrasound. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2013;26:20–30.
12. Van de Putte P, Perlas A. Ultrasound assessment of gastric content and volume. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;113:12–22.
13. Cubillos J, Tse C, Chan VWS, Perlas A. Bedside ultrasound assessment of gastric content: an observational study. *Can J Anesth*. 2012;59:416–23.
14. Arzola C, Carvalho JCA, Cubillos J, Ye XY, Perlas A. Anesthesiologists' learning curves for bedside qualitative ultrasound assessment of gastric content: a cohort study. *Can J Anesth*. 2013;60:771–9.
15. Arzola C, Cubillos J, Perlas A, Downey K, Carvalho JCA. Interrater reliability of qualitative ultrasound assessment of gastric content in the third trimester of pregnancy. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;113:1018–23.
16. Denault A, Fayad A, Chen R. Focused ultrasound is the next step in perioperative care. *Can J Anesth*. 2013;60:741–7.