



Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología

Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology

www.revcolanest.com.co



Scientific and Technological Research

Patients with tracheostomy indication in an intensive care cohort[☆]



María Esther Martínez-Barrio*, Ana Berrazueta-S. de Vega,
Javier Romero-Pellejero, José Antonio Fernández-Ratero, María del Valle-Ortiz,
Diana Armesto-Formoso

Intensive Care Unite, Burgos University Hospital, Burgos, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 February 2015

Accepted 21 June 2016

Available online 25 August 2016

Keywords:

Tracheostomy

Critical care

Respiration, artificial

Airway management

Intensive care units

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Tracheostomy is a procedure indicated for patients with extended mechanical ventilation.

Objective: The objective of the study was to learn about the technical characteristics, the appropriate timing for the procedure and its evolution.

Material and method: Observational, retrospective study of ICU admitted patients during 2012, with elective tracheostomy. The demographic variables, the Apache II, the pathologies, the number of days in MV, length of stay and mortality were analyzed, both globally and by groups, depending on the early or late use of the technique. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Research of the institution, in accordance with the institutional bioethical principles.

Results: The mean age in the sample with 42 patients was 61.36, and the mean Apache II was 18. The most frequent pathology was neurological. The approach was percutaneous in 71.5%, with minor complications in 20% of the cases. In the early tracheostomy group, the number of days in MV and the length of stay were both considerably shorter.

Conclusions: Elective tracheostomy is a commonly used technique in the ICU and the procedure is performed according to the protocol. The percutaneous approach is the most frequently used, with few complications. In neurological critical patients with extended weaning, an early approach reduces the number of days with ventilation and the length of stay, with no positive impact on mortality.

© 2016 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Martínez-Barrio ME, Berrazueta-S. de Vega A, Romero-Pellejero J, Fernández-Ratero JA, del Valle-Ortiz M, Armesto-Formoso D. Pacientes con indicación de traqueostomía en una cohorte de cuidados intensivos. Rev Colomb Anestesiolog. 2016;44:278-281.

* Corresponding author at: Hospital Universitario de Burgos, Avenida Islas Baleares, 3, 09006 Burgos, Spain.

E-mail address: esmaba12@yahoo.es (M.E. Martínez-Barrio).

Pacientes con indicación de traqueostomía en una cohorte de cuidados intensivos

R E S U M E N

Palabras clave:

Traqueostomía
Cuidados críticos
Respiración artificial
Manejo de la vía aérea
Unidades de cuidados intensivos

Introducción: La traqueostomía es un procedimiento indicado en los pacientes con ventilación mecánica prolongada.

Objetivo: El objetivo del estudio fue conocer sus características, técnica, momento adecuado de realización y evolución.

Material y método: Estudio observacional retrospectivo, de pacientes ingresados en UCI durante 2012, con traqueostomía electiva. Se analizaron variables demográficas, escala Apache II, patologías, días de VM, estancia y mortalidad; de forma global, y por grupos según técnica precoz o tardía. El estudio fue aprobado por el Comité Ético de Investigación Clínica del centro, cumpliendo con los principios bioéticos del mismo.

Resultados: En la muestra de 42 pacientes la edad media fue 61.36, con mediana de Apache II de 18. La patología más frecuente fueron los pacientes neurológicos, se realizó la forma percutánea en el 71.5%, con complicaciones menores del 20%. En el grupo de traqueostomía precoz se observó menor número de días de VM y estancia de forma significativa.

Conclusiones: La traqueostomía electiva es una técnica frecuente en UCI, realizado el procedimiento según protocolo; la modalidad percutánea es la más empleada, con escasas complicaciones. En los pacientes neurocríticos y con destete prolongado la técnica precoz reduce los días de ventilación y estancia, sin beneficio en la mortalidad.

© 2016 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Tracheostomy (TC) procedures in patients requiring extended mechanical ventilation are frequently performed in the intensive care unit (ICU). There is an ongoing debate with regards to the technique, the timing of the procedure, the impact of duration of mechanical ventilation¹ (MV), length of stay, and mortality.^{2,3} The best time to do the TC is still being debated.⁴

The contradictory results in the literature, and the lack of evidence in favor of early tracheostomy warrant further research to contribute with additional information about the procedure. The objective of the study was to describe the characteristics of patients with indication for TC, comparing early versus late groups.

Material and methods

An observational, descriptive study was performed in a cohort of patients admitted to the ICU with indication for

tracheostomy during 2012. The patients undergoing tracheostomy were selected analyzing the demographics, the underlying pathologies (classified as critical neurological patients, multiple trauma patients, state of shock and respiratory failure), severity scale at the time of admission (Apache II), type of technique (percutaneous or surgical), complications (immediate and late), duration of MV, length of stay in the ICU, and survival. Patients with a history of laryngectomy, emergency procedure due to airway obstruction, or limitations for life support therapy were excluded.

Following the indication for TC approved by consensus during a clinical session, the elective procedure was performed as per the current protocol. The study was approved according to the rules of the Ethics Committee on Clinical Research. The technique was decided based on the cervical anatomy and the clinical situation. The percutaneous approach was safe

Table 1 – Principal diagnoses for ICU admission with tracheostomy indication (n = 42).

Pathologies	Number of cases (%)
Neurological critical pts ^a	20 (47.61%)
Multiple trauma	3 (7.14%)
Shock (septic and hypovolemic)	6 (14.28%)
Respiratory failure ^b	13 (30.95%)

^a Head and neck trauma, CVA, Status epilepticus.

^b Medical pathologies with extended mechanical ventilation.

Source: authors.

Table 2 – Group characteristics of groups in terms of the timing of the procedure: early (up to 14 days in MV), late (after 14 days in MV).

	Early tracheostomy n = 27	Late tracheostomy n = 15	p-Value
Age (mean in years)	59.93	63.93	0.428
Gender % (males/females)	66.7/33.3	73.3/26.7	0.654
Apache II Mean, IQR	17[13–20]	18 [14– 20]	0.562

MV, mechanical ventilation.

IQR, inter quartile range, percentile 25–percentile 75.

Source: authors.

Table 3 – Comparison of number of days in mechanical ventilation, length of stay, and survival Early technique (up to 14 days in MV), late (after 14 days in MV).

	Sample n = 42	Early tracheostomy n = 28	Late tracheostomy n = 14	p-Value
Days in ventilation (mean, 95% CI)	26.52 (22.44–30.61)	21.37 (18.37–25.70)	35.80 (29.43–43.92)	0.000
Length of stay (mean, 95% CI)	28.05 (23.85–32.24)	23.19 (19.58–27.58)	36.80 (30.35–44.73)	0.001
Survival % (Recovery/exitus)	64.3/35.7	74/26	46.7/53.3	0.076

MV, mechanical ventilation.
Source: authors.

and avoided transferring the patient. The surgical approach is the first line option in the presence of cervical pathology or anatomical alterations. This procedure was performed at the ICU unit or by ENT for more complex cases.

According to the model, the patients were classified as early and late TC, from day 14. The recent evidence⁵ recommends waiting 10 days to confirm the need for MV before indicating the procedure.

A prospective review of the medical records was performed, collecting variables and developing a database for further analysis. The categorical variables are expressed as percentages and frequency distributions, while the quantitative variables are expressed as means (95% CI) or medians (IQR). The contrasting hypotheses tests used for the quantitative variables were the t-Student test for the comparison of parametric measures and Mann-Whitney U test for the non-parametric. The chi-square test was used for the qualitative variables.

Results

The mean age of the sample (n=42) was 61.36 years, with 69% males. The mean Apache II was 18, IQR [13–20]. The most frequent pathology was neurological critical patients (Table 1). The percutaneous approach was used in 71.5% of the cases with 19% immediate complications reported and 16.7% late complications. The survival was 64.3%.

The mean number of days in MV until the TC was 14 IQR [9–17]; the mean number of total days in MV was 26.52 with 95% CI (22.44–30.61); and the mean length of stay was 28.05 with 95% CI (23.85–32.24). The cases were classified into groups: early TC performed before or on day 14 of MV (n=27, 64.3% of the sample); and late TC after day 14 of MV, (n=15, 35.7% of the sample). Both groups were comparable in terms of age, gender, and Apache II severity scale (Table 2). For the variables of total days in MV and length of stay, significant differences were identified, with higher mean values among the late TC group. There was no significant association between the TC time and survival (Table 3).

Discussion

TC is a technique frequently indicated in patients requiring extended MV. In our study the mean age was 61 years, with predominantly male patients. The most frequently used approach was percutaneous with a rate of complications of less than 20%, being a safe technique. The best timing to do the procedure is not yet defined. The median time in MV until

the procedure was performed was 14 days. The patients in the early TC group has less days in MV and shorter length of stay as compared against the late TC group. These results are comparable to those in previous meta-analyses.⁶ By contrast, there were no differences in terms of mortality, in accordance with recent publications.⁷

Further research shall consider the heterogeneity of the patients included depending on the pathology. In neurological critical patients and/or patients with a high probability of extended MV, the early TC reduces the number of days in MV and the length of stay in the ICU,⁸ with no impact on mortality.⁹

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the written informed consent of the patients or subjects mentioned in the article. The corresponding author is in possession of this document.

Financing

The authors did not receive sponsorship to carry out this article.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Arabi YM, Alhashemi JA, Tamim HM, Esteban A, Haddad SH, Dawood A, et al. The impact of time to tracheostomy on mechanical ventilation duration, length of stay and mortality in intensive care unit patients. *J Crit Care.* 2009;24:435–40.
2. Clec'h C, Alberti C, Vincent F, Garrouste-Orgeas M, de Lassence A, Toledano D, et al. Tracheostomy does not improve the outcome of patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation: a propensity analysis. *Crit Care Med.* 2007;35:132–8.

3. Griffiths J, Barber VS, Morgan L, Young JD. Systematic review and metaanalysis of studies of the timing of tracheostomy in adult patients undergoing artificial ventilation. *BMJ*. 2005;33:1243.
4. Calvache JA, Molina García RA, Trochez AL, Benitez F, Arroyo L. Traqueostomía percutánea por dilatación sin fibrobroncoscopio. Evaluación de 80 casos en cuidados intensivos. *Rev Colomb Anestesiología*. 2013;41:184-9.
5. Cheung NH, Napolitano LM. Tracheostomy: epidemiology, indications, timing, technique, and outcomes. *Respir Care*. 2014;59:895-915.
6. Dunham CM, Ransom KJ. Assessment of early tracheostomy in trauma patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am Surg*. 2006;72:276-81.
7. Terragni PP, Antonelli M, Fumagalli R, Faggiano C, Berardino M, Pallavicini FB, et al. Early vs. late tracheotomy for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adult ICU patients: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2010;303:1483-9.
8. Alali AS, Scales DC, Fowler RA, Mainprize TG, Ray JG, Kiss A, et al. Tracheostomy timing in traumatic brain injury: a propensity-matched cohort study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2014;76:70-6.
9. Gomes Silva BN, Andriolo RB, Saconato H, Atallah AN, Valente O. Early versus late tracheostomy for critically ill patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;3. CD007271.